



PATENTS

#7  
Ext. (1mo)  
Recon.  
10-11-02  
H. J. S.

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

INVENTOR: **Robert L. Philpott**  
TITLE OF INVENTION: **PERSONAL HYGIENE CLEANSING APPARATUS**  
APPLICATION NO: **09/716,131**  
FILING DATE: **17 November 2000**  
AGENT/ATTORNEY: **Jonathan R. Smith**  
REG. NO./CUST. NO: **47098/26505**  
ATTORNEY DOCKET NO: **R128-1**  
EXAMINER: **Christopher Kim**  
ART UNIT: **3752**  
NOTICE DATE: **5 July 2002**

REC'D

SEP 16 2002

TECHNOLOGY

AMENDMENT

Sir:

This is in response to a second Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment of the above date and a telephone conversation with the examiner on 3 September 2002. In the response to the first office action dated 23 May 2002, the applicant maintained claims 1 and 9 as originally written, cancelled all other claims, and submitted new claims 19 - 31. Applicant's "Version with Markings to Show Changes Made" filed on 22 July 2002 was therefore unnecessary. Instead the applicant should have pointed out clearly with the clean copy that there were no markings. Therefore, the original amendment submitted 20 June 2002 and containing a clean copy of the retained and new claims should be sufficient documentation as to the claims intended for examination.

In his response to the office action the applicant failed to point out, in accordance with MPEP § 809.02(a), which of the added claims read on the elected species. All of the added claims (claims 19 - 31) read on the elected species. The original claims 1 and 9 read on the genus.

Also attached is a small entity fee payment for a one-month extension of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Sincerely,

*Jonathan R. Smith*  
Jonathan R. Smith

reg. no. 47098

SEP 23 2002  
RECEIVED  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700